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## The Fibonacci zeta function

## Fibonacci numbers and their zeta functions

We let $F(n)$ denote the $n$th Fibonacci number, defined through the linear recurrence $F(n+2)=F(n+1)+F(n)$ with initial conditions $F(0)=0, F(1)=1$. As is surely familiar, the sequence begins

$$
0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13, \ldots
$$

The full Fibonacci zeta function is the lacunary zeta function

$$
\zeta_{\text {Fib }}(s):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{F(n)^{s}}=\frac{1}{1^{s}}+\frac{1}{1^{s}}+\frac{1}{2^{s}}+\frac{1}{3^{s}}+\frac{1}{5^{s}}+\cdots
$$

The Fibonacci numbers $F(n)$ grow exponentially, and thus it's trivial to see that the series converges for $\operatorname{Re} s>0$.

We will also investigate the zeta function associated to odd-indexed Fibonacci numbers,

$$
\Phi(s):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{F(2 n-1)^{s}}=\frac{1}{1^{s}}+\frac{1}{2^{s}}+\frac{1}{5^{s}}+\frac{1}{13^{s}}+\cdots
$$

## Simple analytic continuation

Recall the classical formula

$$
F(n)=\frac{\phi^{n}-(-\phi)^{-n}}{\sqrt{5}}
$$

where $\phi=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is the golden ratio. Using binomial series, it is straightforward to give a meromorphic continuation for the Fibonacci zeta function and $\Phi(s)$. We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(s) & =\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{5^{s / 2}}{\left(\phi^{2 n-1}+\phi^{1-2 n}\right)^{s}}=5^{s / 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(2 n-1) s}\left(\phi^{4 n-2}+1\right)^{-s} \\
& =5^{s / 2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi^{(2 n-1) s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{-s}{k}\left(\phi^{4 n-2}\right)^{-s-k} \\
& =5^{s / 2} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{-s}{k} \frac{\phi^{s+2 k}}{\phi^{2 s+4 k}-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

which gives meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$.

## Suggesting a modular connection

These zeta functions exist in the literature. Landau (inconclusively) studied the value $\zeta_{\text {Fib }}(1)$ in [Lan99], but noted that $\Phi(1)$ can be expressed as special values of classical theta functions:

$$
\Phi(1)=\frac{\sqrt{5}}{4} \theta_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right),
$$

where

$$
\theta_{2}(q)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{(n+1 / 2)^{2}}
$$

And there are a series of more recent results showing that $\zeta_{\text {Fib }}(2 k)$ is transcendental for all $k \geq 1$ (analogous to $\zeta(2 k)$ ) (due to Duverney, Nishioka, Nishioka, Shiokawa, Nesterenko, and others).

The idea is to combinatorially represent these special values as a nontrivial polynomial of certain Eisenstein series, and then to use a general theorem of Nesterenko on transcendentality of Eisenstein series.

## Connections to modular forms

Let $r_{1}(n)=\#\left\{n=m^{2}: m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ (essentially a square-indicator function). Then the classical theta function

$$
\theta(z):=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2 \pi i n^{2} z}=\sum_{n \geq 0} r_{1}(n) e^{2 \pi i n z}
$$

is a (weight $1 / 2$ ) modular form on $\Gamma_{0}(4)$, and its coefficients recognize squares.

Our key fact for relating the Fibonacci numbers to modular forms is the following criterion for determining whether a number $N$ is Fibonacci.

## Lemma

A nonnegative integer $N$ is a Fibonacci number iff either $5 N^{2}+4$ or $5 N^{2}-4$ is a square. Further, $N$ is an odd-indexed Fibonacci number iff $5 N^{2}-4$ is a square, and even-indexed iff $5 N^{2}+4$ is a square.
(We'll return to this lemma later).

## Shifted convolutions

$n$ is an odd-indexed Fibonacci number iff $5 n^{2}-4$ is a square. In terms of $r_{1}$, this is equivalent to requiring that

$$
r_{1}\left(5 n^{2}-4\right) \neq 0 \Longleftrightarrow r_{1}(5 n-4) r_{1}(n) \neq 0
$$

Thus

$$
\Phi(s)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{F(2 n-1)^{s}}=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{r_{1}(5 n-4) r_{1}(n)}{n^{s / 2}},
$$

which is a shifted convolution Dirichlet series formed from $\theta$. Given modular forms $f=\sum a(n) e(n z)$ and $g=\sum b(n) e(n z)$, there is a general procedure one might try to follow to understand shifted convolutions

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{a(n) b(n \pm h)}{n^{s}}
$$

building on ideas of Selberg, Sarnak, Hoffstein, Hulse, and in the last few years, my frequent collaborator group Hulse-Kuan-Lowry-Duda-Walker.

The idea here is to consider $V(z)=\theta(5 z) \overline{\theta(z)} y^{1 / 2}$, which is a weight 0 automorphic form on $\Gamma_{0}(20, \chi)$ whose 4th Fourier coefficient is

$$
\sqrt{y} \sum_{n \geq 1} r_{1}(5 n-4) r_{1}(n) e^{-20 n \pi y}
$$

To study this as a Dirichlet series, it is convenient to use the real analytic Poincaré series

$$
P_{4}(z, s)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \Gamma_{0}(20)} \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)^{s} e^{2 \pi i 4 \gamma z} \chi(\gamma)
$$

Then one can compute that

$$
4 \Phi(2 s)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{r_{1}(m) r_{1}(5 m-4)}{m^{s}}=\frac{(20 \pi)^{s}\left\langle V, P_{4}\left(\cdot, \bar{s}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\rangle}{\Gamma(s)} .
$$

Thus the (odd-indexed) Fibonacci zeta function $\Phi(s)$ can be recognized as an inner product between automorphic forms.

The Poincaré series $P_{4}(z, s)$ has meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ and is in $L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{0}(20, \chi) \backslash \mathcal{H}\right)$, and thus the equality

$$
4 \Phi(2 s)=\frac{(20 \pi)^{s}\left\langle V, P_{4}\left(\cdot, \bar{s}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\rangle}{\Gamma(s)}
$$

reproves the meromorphic continuation of $\Phi(s)$. But in practice the meromorphic continuation of $P_{4}(z, s)$ is rather inconvenient to work with, and little is gained in terms of pure meromorphic understanding from this perspective.

Nonetheless, we will investigate the nature of the meromorphic continuation from the modular form perspective.

One way to understand the meromorphic continuation of the $P_{4}(z, s)$ is to use its spectral decomposition.

$$
P_{4}(z, s)=\sum_{j}\left\langle\mu_{j}, P_{4}(\cdot, s)\right\rangle \mu_{j}(z)+(\text { continuous }),
$$

where $\mu_{j}$ ranges over a basis of Mass eigenforms and the 'continuous' part refers to a sum over Eisenstein series.
(It turns out that the first sets of poles all come from the discrete spectrum (except for a distinguished pole at $s=0$ ), and we will focus entirely on the discrete spectrum in this talk).
We study analytic behavior of $\Phi(2 s)$ through

$$
4 \Phi(2 s)=\frac{(20 \pi)^{s}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j}\left\langle\mu_{j}, P_{4}(\cdot, s)\right\rangle\left\langle V, \mu_{j}\right\rangle+\text { (continuous). }
$$

But not every pole from the Poincaré series yields a pole in $\Phi(2 s)$. Many parts of the spectral expansion disappear. In particular, the only Maass forms that contribute are self-dual.

## Lemma

$$
\left\langle V, \mu_{j}\right\rangle=0 \text { unless } \mu_{j} \text { is self-dual. }
$$

## (proof sketch).

Recognize $\theta$ as the residue of the weight $1 / 2$, level 20 Eisenstein series $E^{\frac{1}{2}}(z, w)$. This will work as the space of modular forms of weight $1 / 2$ on $\Gamma_{0}(20)$ is 1-dimensional. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle V, \mu_{j}\right\rangle & =\left\langle y^{\frac{1}{2}} \theta(5 z) \overline{\theta(z)}, \mu_{j}\right\rangle=c \operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}}\left\langle y^{\frac{1}{4}} \theta(5 z) \overline{E^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(z, w ; \Gamma_{0}(20)\right), \mu_{j}\right\rangle \\
& =c^{\prime} \operatorname{Res}_{w=\frac{3}{4}} \frac{\Gamma\left(w-\frac{1}{4}+i t_{j}\right) \Gamma\left(w-\frac{1}{4}-i t_{j}\right)}{(10 \pi)^{w} \Gamma\left(w+\frac{1}{4}\right)} \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\rho_{j}\left(-5 n^{2}\right)}{n^{2 w-\frac{1}{2}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The inner product against the Eisenstein series leads to a Rankin-Selberg type expansion for what is nearly the symmetric square $L$-function associated to $\mu_{j}$.

## Self-dual Maass forms

Self-dual forms of the type that contribute were studied by Maaß himself.
Let $\eta(\mathfrak{b})$ be the Hecke character on $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ by

$$
\eta((a+b \sqrt{2}))=\operatorname{sgn}(a+b \sqrt{5}) \operatorname{sgn}(a-b \sqrt{5})\left|\frac{a+b \sqrt{5}}{a-b \sqrt{5}}\right|^{\frac{i \pi}{2 \log ((1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)}}
$$

We note that the number $\phi=(1+\sqrt{5}) / 2$ is a fundamental unit for $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, and that defining $\eta$ on principle ideals is sufficient as $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{5})$ is a PID. For each integer $m$, consider the function

$$
\mu_{m}(z):=\sum_{n \geq 1} \sum_{N(\mathfrak{b})=n} \eta(\mathfrak{b})^{m} \sqrt{y} K_{\frac{i m \pi}{2 \log (1+\sqrt{5}) / 2)}}(2 \pi n y) \cdot \begin{cases}\cos (2 \pi n x), & 2 \nmid m \\ \sin (2 \pi n x), & 2 \mid m .\end{cases}
$$

Following Maaß, and as recounted in [Bum97, Theorem 1.9.1], the functions $\mu_{m}(z)$ are Maass cusp forms for $\Gamma_{0}(5)$ with nebentypus $\chi$, and thus also Maass cusp forms for $\Gamma_{0}(20)$. The coefficients of $\mu_{m}$ are real, and thus self-dual.

These are dihedral Maass forms.

## Polar comparison: binomial continuation

As a quick check, we examine the first line of poles. From the simple binomial expression, we have the continuation

$$
4 \Phi(2 s)=4 \cdot 5^{s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\binom{-2 s}{k} \frac{\phi^{2 s+2 k}}{\phi^{4 s+4 k}-1},
$$

so that the poles on the line $\operatorname{Re} s=0$ all come from the single term $5^{s} \phi^{2 s} /\left(\phi^{4 s}+1\right)$, which are at

$$
s=\frac{m \pi i}{2 \log \phi} \quad(m \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

## Polar comparison: modular continuation

From the discrete portion of the continuation of $P_{4}(z, s)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 \Phi(2 s) & \approx \frac{(20 \pi)^{s}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j}\left\langle\mu_{j}, P_{4}(\cdot, s)\right\rangle\left\langle V, \mu_{j}\right\rangle \\
& \approx \frac{(20 \pi)^{s}}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{j} \frac{\rho_{j}(4) \sqrt{\pi} \Gamma\left(s+i t_{j}\right) \Gamma\left(s-i t_{j}\right)}{(16 \pi)^{s} \Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)}\left\langle V, \mu_{j}\right\rangle,
\end{aligned}
$$

which has potential poles at $s= \pm i t_{j}$ along the line $\operatorname{Re} s=0$. Here, $t_{j}$ is the "type" associated to the Maass form. The "types" associated to the dihedral Maass forms above are exactly

$$
i t_{m}=\frac{m \pi i}{2 \log \phi} \quad(m \in \mathbb{Z}, m \neq 0) .
$$

Thus the poles of $\Phi(2 s)$ line up perfectly with the poles coming from the dihedral Maass forms (and a distinguished pole at $s=0$ ). This story continues for all poles, not just those on $\operatorname{Re} s=0$.

Fibonacci trace zeta functions

I do not yet fully understand the complete story. It might be the case that there exists a way to directly recognize $\Phi(s)$ as coming from Eisenstein series and dihedral Maass forms - but if so, I haven't found it yet.

What we do know is that the odd-indexed Fibonacci zeta function $\Phi(s)$ has a modular interpretation. Each pole in the meromorphic continuation is either on the line $\operatorname{Re} s=0$ or comes from a dihedral Maass form.

And the key idea to this method of recognizing the relationship was the lemma relating Fibonacci numbers to squares.

## Lemma

A nonnegative integer $N$ is a Fibonacci number iff either $5 N^{2}+4$ or $5 N^{2}-4$ is a square. Further, $N$ is an odd-indexed Fibonacci number iff $5 N^{2}-4$ is a square, and even-indexed iff $5 N^{2}+4$ is a square.

## Explanation of Lemma I

We can view this lemma as describing behavior of units in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{5})$. Any integer in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{5})$ can be written uniquely as

$$
x=m+n \frac{5+\sqrt{5}}{2},
$$

and $x$ is a unit iff $N(x)= \pm 1$, which is equivalent to the condition that

$$
u^{2}=5 n^{2} \pm 4, \quad(\text { where } u=2 m+5 n)
$$

Suppose $u$ and $n$ are a positive solution making $x$ a unit. As $\phi$ is a fundamental unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=\frac{u+n \sqrt{5}}{2}=\phi^{r} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\phi^{r}+\bar{\phi}^{r}\right)+\frac{\phi^{r}-\bar{\phi}^{r}}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{5}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}[L(r)+F(r) \sqrt{5}],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L(r)$ are the Lucas numbers and $F(r)$ are the Fibonacci numbers.

## Explanation of Lemma II

Thus if there is a (positive) solution $(u, n)$ to $u^{2}=5 n^{2} \pm 4$, then

$$
\frac{u+n \sqrt{5}}{2}=\frac{L(r)+F(r) \sqrt{5}}{2}
$$

for some $r$, and thus $n$ is Fibonacci. Conversely, if $n=F(r)$ for some $r$, then

$$
\phi^{r}=\frac{1}{2}[L(r)+F(r) \sqrt{5}] \Longrightarrow L(r)^{2}-5 F(r)^{2}= \pm 4,
$$

and thus $n$ is part of a solution to $u^{2}=5 n^{2} \pm 4$.
The condition that $5 n^{2} \pm 4$ is a square is really an indicator that a particular element is a unit in a ring of integers. This generalizes readily.

## Generalization of Lemma I

We can generalize this lemma to describe the behavior of units in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p})$. Any integer in $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{p})$ can be written uniquely as

$$
x=m+n \frac{q+\sqrt{q}}{2}, \quad \begin{cases}q=p & p \equiv 1 \bmod 4 \\ q=4 p & p \equiv 2,3 \bmod 4\end{cases}
$$

and $x$ is a unit iff $N(x)= \pm 1$, which is equivalent to the condition that

$$
u^{2}=q n^{2} \pm 4, \quad(\text { where } u=2 m+q n) .
$$

Suppose $u$ and $n$ are a positive solution making $x$ a unit. Let $\varepsilon$ be a fundamental unit

$$
\begin{aligned}
x=\frac{u+n \sqrt{q}}{2}=\varepsilon^{r} & =\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\varepsilon^{r}+\bar{\varepsilon}^{r}\right)+\frac{\varepsilon^{r}-\bar{\varepsilon}^{r}}{\sqrt{q}} \sqrt{q}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[L_{p}(r)+F_{p}(r) \sqrt{q}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $L_{p}(r)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varepsilon^{r}\right)$ are $p$-Lucas numbers and $F_{p}(r)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varepsilon^{r} / \sqrt{q}\right)$ are $p$-Fibonacci numbers.

## Generalization of Lemma II

Thus if there is a (positive) solution $(u, n)$ to $u^{2}=q n^{2} \pm 4$, then

$$
\frac{u+n \sqrt{q}}{2}=\frac{L_{p}(r)+F_{p}(r) \sqrt{q}}{2}
$$

for some $r$, and thus $n$ is $p$-Fibonacci. Conversely, if $n=F_{p}(r)$ for some $r$, then

$$
\varepsilon^{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left[L_{p}(r)+F_{p}(r) \sqrt{q}\right] \Longrightarrow L_{p}(r)^{2}-q F_{p}(r)^{2}= \pm 4
$$

and thus $n$ is part of a solution to $u^{2}=q n^{2} \pm 4$.
(Note that if $p=2$ or $p \equiv 3 \bmod 4$, the equality $q=4 p$ has the effect of making most 4 s appearing above to factor out).

From this point of view, the major idea is that the Fibonacci numbers $F(n)$ are traces of powers of the fundamental unit (divided by $\sqrt{5}$ ),

$$
F(n)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\phi^{n} / \sqrt{5}\right)
$$

For the ring of integers associated to a quadratic extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{p})$, if we define $p$-Fibonacci numbers ${ }^{1}$ as

$$
F_{p}(n)=\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varepsilon^{n} / \sqrt{q}\right)
$$

as above, then the lemma applies and p-Fibonacci numbers and we see that $p$-Fibonacci numbers are detectable via a quadratic form that can be built out of theta functions.
${ }^{1}$ I made this definition up. Don't look for it in the literature.

## Trace zeta function approach

If the fundamental unit $\varepsilon$ satisfies $N(\varepsilon)=-1$, then the proof methods described above for $\Phi(s)$ apply essentially verbatim for

$$
\Phi_{p}(s)=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{F_{p}(2 n-1)^{s}}=\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Tr}\left(\varepsilon^{2 n-1} / \sqrt{q}\right)^{s}},
$$

using $V_{p}=\theta(p z) \overline{\theta(z)}$ in place of $V$. It also remains true that the poles come from self-dual Maass forms. ${ }^{2}$
(If there are not units of norm -1 , then one must instead study the series

$$
\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{r_{1}(q n+4) r_{1}(n)}{n^{s}}
$$

with a + instead of a - . For technical reasons, it is necessary to perform a different continuation of this series. I don't get into that in this talk).

[^0]Pell's Equation

The equations $u^{2}=q n^{2} \pm 4$ are Pell equations. It is also possible to construct a zeta function by interpreting the Pell equation directly as a quadratic form.

For example, we will consider the Pell equations

$$
x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-h, \quad\left(h \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}\right) .
$$

Solutions do not exist for every $h$, but when solutions exist they are exponentially sparse and satisfy a linear recurrence relation.

Analogous with the Fibonacci-zeta case, we can recognize this zeta function as

$$
4 D_{h}(s)=\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{r_{1}(m) r_{1}(2 m-h)}{m^{s}}
$$

(The identification to solutions $x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-h$ is through $y^{2}=m$ ).

Alternately, we note that for each $h$ there exists a number $d=d(h)$ of fundamental solutions $\left(u_{1}, v_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(u_{d}, v_{d}\right)$. Then the $y^{2}$ part of the solutions are given by the linear recurrences

$$
y_{k}(n)=6 y_{k}(n-1)-y_{k}(n-2)=\alpha_{k}(3+2 \sqrt{2})^{n}+\beta_{k}(3-2 \sqrt{2})^{n},
$$

where $\alpha_{k}=\frac{1}{2} v_{k}+\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} u_{k}$ and $\beta_{k}=\frac{1}{2} v_{k}-\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{2}} u_{k}$. The exact fundamental solutions are not trivial to determine in general.

For any fixed $h$, it is straightforward to adapt the binomial series method to provide an analytic continuation for the lacunary Dirichlet series formed from the solutions $y_{k}(n)$. Let $\omega=3+2 \sqrt{2}$, and note that $\omega^{-1}=\bar{\omega}$. Then we define

$$
D_{h}(s)=\sum_{\substack{n \geq 0 \\ k \leq d}} \frac{1}{\left(\alpha_{k} \omega^{n}+\beta_{k} \omega^{-n}\right)^{2 s}}=\sum_{k \leq d} \frac{1}{\alpha_{k}^{2 s}} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\omega^{-2 n s}}{\left(1+\left(\beta_{k} / \alpha_{k}\right) \omega^{-2 n}\right)^{2 s}} .
$$

This latter expression has meromorphic continuation to the plane and is analytic for $\operatorname{Re} s>0$ (and agrees with the previous expression).

To compare with the previous trace-zeta function, note that $\varepsilon=1+\sqrt{2}$ is a fundamental unit, $N(\varepsilon)=-1$, and $\omega=\varepsilon^{2}=3+2 \sqrt{2}$.

Thus the linear recurrences defining the solutions $y_{k}(n)$ are in terms of $\varepsilon^{2}$ and $\overline{\varepsilon^{2}}$. The major distinction is that the initial conditions for the linear recurrences are different (and there may be multiple).

From the modular forms perspective, it seems far more natural to consider the whole ensemble of solutions across each linear recurrence. It is interesting to note that the pure binomial approach is indifferent.

We recognize this again as a shifted convolution, now with $V=\theta(2 z) \overline{\theta(z)} \sqrt{y}$, which is a modular form on $\Gamma_{0}(8, \chi)$. The hth Fourier coefficient of $V$ contains the relevant arithmetic data, and we use a Poincaré series that extracts the $h$ th Fourier coefficient:

$$
P_{h}(z, s)=\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\infty} \backslash \Gamma_{0}(8)} \operatorname{Im}(\gamma z)^{s} e^{2 \pi i h \gamma z} \chi(\gamma)
$$

Then one can compute that

$$
D_{h}(s)=\frac{(8 \pi)^{s}\left\langle V, P_{h}\left(\cdot, \bar{s}+\frac{1}{2}\right)\right\rangle}{\Gamma(s)},
$$

and abstractly we get another continuation.
This same construction applies to any Pell equation (and restricting to Pell equations of the for $x^{2}-d y^{2}=-h$ (with a minus) accomplishes the same minor technical detail as requiring $N(\varepsilon)=-1$ previously).

## Remarks on $x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-h$ case

But the $x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-h$ case is special for one major reason: the underlying modular forms are simple enough that one can explicitly compute many pieces. In particular, one can compute the piece corresponding to the pole at $s=0$ explicitly (in terms of Eisenstein series).

## Theorem (Unpublished: HKLDW)

For $h \geq 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} s \gg 1$, we have that

$$
D_{h}(s)=\frac{2^{s} \sqrt{\pi} \sigma_{0}^{\chi}(h) \Gamma(s)}{\log (1+\sqrt{2}) h^{s} \Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)}+2^{s} \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{j} \frac{\rho_{j}(h)}{h^{s}} \frac{G\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, i t_{j}\right)}{\Gamma(s)}\left\langle V, \mu_{j}\right\rangle
$$

in which $G(s, z)=\Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}+z\right) \Gamma\left(s-\frac{1}{2}-z\right) / \Gamma(s)$. Further, each Maass form $\mu_{j}$ that appears in this decomposition is self-dual. Here, $\sigma_{0}^{\chi}(h)=\sum_{d \mid h} \chi(d)$.

The first term is exactly the contribution from the dihedral Eisenstein series!

## Remarks (continued)

Comparing residues of poles at $s=0$ across the binomial representation and the modular representation shows that

$$
\frac{2 d}{\log \omega}=\operatorname{Res}_{s=0} \frac{2^{s} \sqrt{\pi} \sigma_{0}^{\chi}(h) \Gamma(s)}{\log (1+\sqrt{2}) h^{s} \Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)}=\frac{\sigma_{0}^{\chi}(h)}{\log (1+\sqrt{2})},
$$

where $d=d(h)$ is the number of fundamental solutions to the Pell equation.

Recalling that $\omega=(1+\sqrt{2})^{2}$, we see that that $d=\sigma_{0}^{\chi}(h)$, which gives a class number formula for solutions to the Pell equation. ${ }^{3}$

[^1]
## Relation to 3APs of Squares

Solutions to the Pell equation $x^{2}-2 y^{2}=-h$ are closely related to 3 -term arithmetic progressions (3APs) of squares. Many classical problems in number theory are related to 3APs of squares, such as integer Pythagorean triangles, congruent numbers, and rational points on $X^{2}+Y^{2}=2 Z^{2}$.

In fact, the impetus for this talk came from trying to study the distribution of 3APs of squares.

The function $r_{1}(m) r_{1}(2 m-h)$ trivially detects a 2AP of squares, $\{m, 2 m-h\}$. Thus

$$
4 D_{h}(s)=\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{r_{1}(m) r_{1}(2 m-h)}{m^{s}}
$$

as a Dirichlet series detects 2APs of squares as $m$ ranges.

## Naive question

Can we understand 3APs of squares $\{h, m, 2 m-h\}$ by studying the (multiple) Dirichlet series

$$
D(s, w)=\sum_{h \geq 1} \frac{4 D_{h}(s) r_{1}(h)}{h^{w}}=\sum_{m, h \geq 1} \frac{r_{1}(h) r_{1}(m) r_{1}(2 m-h)}{m^{s} h^{w}} ?
$$

This is a Dirichlet series formed from individual Pell-type Dirichlet series. Is it understandable?

Answer: Yes.
But not through the raw binomial series continuation of $D_{h}(s)$. The uncertain behavior of the fundamental solutions makes computing with the explicit binomial series untenable.

But the modular form continuation is robust enough to make sense of $D(s, w)$.

## Heuristic explanation

From the evaluation

$$
D_{h}(s)=\frac{2^{s} \sqrt{\pi} \sigma_{0}^{\chi}(h) \Gamma(s)}{\log (1+\sqrt{2}) h^{s} \Gamma\left(s+\frac{1}{2}\right)}+2^{s} \sqrt{\pi} \sum_{j} \frac{\rho_{j}(h)}{h^{s}} \frac{G\left(s+\frac{1}{2}, i t_{j}\right)}{\Gamma(s)}\left\langle V, \mu_{j}\right\rangle,
$$

we can study what would come from $\sum_{h \geq 1} D_{h}(s) r_{1}(h) h^{-w}$. In the first term, the sum over $h$ becomes

$$
\sum_{h \geq 1} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{\chi}(h) r_{1}(h)}{h^{s+w}}=\sum_{h \geq 1} \frac{\sigma_{0}^{\chi}\left(h^{2}\right)}{h^{2 s+2 w}}
$$

In the $j$ th summand, the sum over $h$ becomes

$$
\sum_{h \geq 1} \frac{\rho_{j}\left(h^{2}\right)}{h^{2 s+2 w}}
$$

Both of these are essentially symmetric square $L$-functions associated to well-studied objects, and are thus understandable.

In fact, $D(s, w)$ has meromorphic continuation to all of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$.
In forthcoming work with Kuan, Hulse, and Walker, we study and use this meromorphic continuation to prove a variety of counting results associated to 3APs of squares.
A preprint will shortly be available (hopefully by next week).

## History of this project

In [HKLDW19], this collaborator group examined a naive shifted sum for detecting if a given number $t$ is congruent:

$$
\sum_{m, n \leq X} r_{1}(m+h) r_{1}(m-h) r_{1}(m) r_{1}(t n)
$$

This sum is asymptotically of size $\sqrt{X}$ if $t$ is congruent, and is otherwise 0 . Thus whether $t$ is congruent is determined by poles of

$$
\sum_{m, n \geq 1} \frac{r_{1}(m+h) r_{1}(m-h) r_{1}(m) r_{1}(t h)}{m^{s} h^{w}}
$$

This seemed like a potential refinement of Tunnell's theorem, but we were unable to understand this series. By counting congruent numbers (instead of detecting them), we arrive at $D(s, w)$, which we understand through $D_{h}(s)$ as above.

Initially we ignored dihedral Maass forms and we thought that we had proved that both the continuous and discrete portions of the spectral decomposition vanished. Thus we looked for alternate continuations.

## Outstanding questions

1. To prove everything so far, we begin with a binary quadratic form, pass through theta functions to a modular form, and explicitly understand the properties of this modular form. But this feels like an instance of a deeper set of ideas.
2. Is there a natural way to explain why only Eisenstein series and dihedral forms appear here?
3. Is there some sort of representation theoretic explanation (perhaps especially for the trace-oriented point of view)?

## Thank you very much.

Please note that these slides (and references for the cited works) are (or will soon be) available on my website (davidlowryduda.com).
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ This direction of generalization was suggested to me by Eran Assaf.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ This is not a new result, but it is a nice result.

